

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

28

SF/1500240v1

## STATUTORY BASIS FOR REMOVAL

- 28 United States Code section 1331 provides that "district courts shall have 1. original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States."
- 28 United States Code section 1441(a) provides that "any civil action brought in a 2. State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending."
- 28 United States Code section 1441(b) provides that "[a]ny civil action of which 3. the district courts have original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States shall be removable without regard to the citizenship or residence of the parties."
- 28 United States Code section 1441(c) states that "[w]henever a separate and independent claim or cause of action within the jurisdiction conferred by section 1331 of this title is joined with one or more otherwise nonremovable claims or causes of action, the entire case may be removed and the district court may determine all issues therein."

### **JURISDICTION**

- This action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 5. 28 United States Code section 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28 United States Code sections 1441(a), 1441(b), and 1441(c), in that it seeks life insurance benefits under an employee welfare benefit plan, and therefore arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 United States Code section 1001, et seg.
- Any remaining claims or causes of action in the complaint that are otherwise 6. nonremovable are joined with separate and independent claims or causes of action within the jurisdiction conferred by 28 United States Code section 1331, and the entire case is therefore removable under 28 United States Code section 1441(c).

# 3

# 4 5

### 6

### 8

7

### 9

# 10

### 11

### 12

# 13

## 14 15

# 16

# 17

### 18

# 19

# 20

## 21 22

# 23

# 24

- 25
- 26

28

#### FACTS SUPPORTING REMOVAL

- The Complaint seeks life insurance benefits under an employee welfare benefit 7. plan sponsored by Samsung Information Systems America, Inc. (the "Plan"), the former employer of Plaintiff's husband, now deceased. MetLife issued a group policy of insurance to Samsung Information Systems America, Inc. ("Samsung") to fund life insurance benefits under the Plan. Plaintiff alleges in the Complaint that Samsung employed her husband, Frederick Bonner (the "decedent"), and provided him with life insurance coverage issued by MetLife. Complaint ¶¶ 2, 3, 7. Plaintiff alleges that the decedent initially designated her as beneficiary under the Plan. Complaint ¶ 6. Plaintiff alleges that she and the decedent were in the process of getting divorced and, as such, the decedent was prohibited from changing his beneficiary under the Plan. Complaint ¶ 5. Plaintiff alleges that the beneficiary designation was later changed to co-defendants Keri L. Bonner, Damon J. Bonner, and Rachel A. Bonner. Complaint ¶ 9. Plaintiff alleges that the decedent died on May 29, 2007. Complaint ¶ 1. Plaintiff alleges that she is the sole person entitled to the Plan benefit and seeks to have the Court declare that MetLife is holding the proceeds as an involuntary trustee for Plaintiff. Complaint ¶ 19. These allegations establish on the face of the Complaint that plaintiff is seeking benefits under an employee welfare benefit plan governed by ERISA. See 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1).
- 8. Although the Complaint does not expressly mention ERISA,1 and purports to be brought entirely under state law, the Causes of Action pleaded therein, and each of them, are disguised by artful pleading, and arise under and are completely preempted by ERISA. The Causes of Action, and each of them, seek remedies for alleged failure to provide life insurance benefits allegedly due to Plaintiff under the terms of the Plan, and thus either seek payment of benefits covered by ERISA or are completely preempted by ERISA insofar as they assert theories of liability or seek damages and other remedies, including extracontractual damages, that are not available under ERISA. 29 U.S.C. § 1144; Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 481 U.S. 58, 62-67 (1987); Massachusetts Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Russell, 473 U.S. 134, 145-48 (1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Except in reference to a letter MetLife sent to Plaintiff prior to commencement of this lawsuit.

7

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

9. Plaintiff has standing to sue under ERISA because she has alleged a colorable claim for benefits under a Plan governed by ERISA, in which the decedent was a participant and in which she allegedly was, at one time, designated as a beneficiary. Complaint, ¶¶ 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8; Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. v. Bruch, 489 U.S. 101, 117 (1989); McLeod v. Oregon Lithoprint Inc., 46 F.3d 956, 958 (9th Cir. 1995).

### JOINDER OF OTHER DEFENDANT IS NOT NECESSARY

10. On information and belief, co-defendants Samsung Information Systems America, Inc., Keri L. Bonner, Damon J. Bonner, and Rachel A. Bonner have not been served with the Complaint and summons in this action. Therefore, co-defendants need not join in the Notice of Removal. Salveson v. W. States Bankcard Ass'n, 731 F.2d 1423, 1429-30 (9th Cir. 1984), superseded by statute on other grounds as stated in Ethridge v. Harbor House Rest., 861 F.2d 1389 (9th Cir. 1988).

#### TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL PETITION

- 11. On March 3, 2008, plaintiff filed the Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Santa Clara, entitled Leslie C.V. Bonner v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and Affiliated Companies, et al., Case No. 108CV107199. True and correct copies of the Complaint and summons are attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- The first date which MetLife received a copy of the Complaint was March 11, 12. 2008, the date that MetLife received the Complaint and summons by mail.
- 13. No other pleadings or papers have been filed, served, or received by MetLife, other than the Complaint, summons, and proof of service.
- 14. This Notice of Removal is filed with this Court within 30 days of the earliest date on which MetLife first was served with a copy of the initial pleadings in the above-entitled action. This removal is thus timely under 28 United States Code section 1446(b).

///

///

///

///

WHEREFORE, MetLife removes the above-entitled action to this Court, and requests that the Court assume jurisdiction over this action. DATED: April 9, 2008 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP Rebecca A. Hull Shawn M. Wrobel Attorneys for Defendant METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY (erroneously sued as METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND AFFILIATED COMPANIES) 

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Filed 04/09/2008

Page 5 of 21

Case 5:08-cv-01898-RMW Document 1

SF/1500240v1

```
NANCIE YOMTOV
                                                    EMPOUSED
     State Bar No. 96252
     210 N. Fourth Street, #101
 2
     San Jose, CA 95112-5558
                                                    2008 MAR -3 P 2: 28
 3
     Tel: (408) 993-0808
     Fax: (408) 271-9476
 4
     Attorney for Plaintiff
 5
 6
                        SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
                            COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
 7
 8
                               Case No.: 1-08 CV 107 199
 9
10
     LESLIE C.V. BONNER
                               COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
11 Plaintiff.
                               AND CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST
12 kg.
13 METROPOLITAN LIFE
   INSURANCE AND AFFILIATED
   COMPANIES, SAMSUNG
15 INFORMATION SYSTEMS
   AMERICA, INC., KERT L.
                                               MetLife
16 BONNER, DAMON J. BONNER,
   RACHEL A. BONNER
                                             MAR 1 1 2008
17
   AND DOES 1 to 100,
                                              GLI-U-20
18
   INCLUSIVE
19
   DEFENDANTS.
20
21
           Plaintiff, Leslie C.V. Bonner, alleges as follows:
22
    FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: DECLARATORY RELIEF:
23
24
           1. Plaintiff, Leslie Bonner, (hereinafter, Leslie) was at
25
      all time relevant, a resident of San Joaquin County, California.
26
      She is the surviving spouse of Frederick Bonner, who died on or
27
      about May 29, 2007, (hereinafter, Frederick).
28
           2. Defendant, Metropolitan Life Insurance and Affiliated
```

Companies (hereinafter, Metlife) is, and at all times mentioned herein, a corporation authorized to transact business and was and is transacting business as a group life insurer in the State of California. Defendant Metlife provided life insurance for the employees of Defendant Samsung, including Frederick, the husband of the Plaintiff. Said Plan (hereinafter, The Plan) was and is designated as Samsung Electronics America/121437.

- 3. Defendant, Samsung Information Systems America, Inc., (hereinafter, Samsung) is, and at all times mentioned herein, a corporation with its principal place of business located in Santa Clara County, California and authorized to transact business and was and is transacting business as an employer in the State of California in the County of Santa Clara. As an employer, Samsung contracted with Metlife to provide life insurance for its employees, under The Plan, including their employee, Frederick Bonner, the deceased husband of Plaintiff, Leslie Bonner.
- 4. Defendant, Keri Bonner, (hereinafter, Keri) is the adult child of Frederick Bonner, and at all time mentioned herein does and has resided in Chino Hills, California, County of San Bernardino.
- 5. Defendant, Damon Bonner, (hereinafter, Damon) is the adult child of Frederick Bonner, and at all time mentioned herein does and has resided in Los Banos, California, County of MetLife San Benito.

MAR 1 1 2008

- 6. Defendant, Rachel Bonner, (hereinafter, Rachel) is the minor child of Plaintiff, Leslie and Frederick, and at all times mentioned herein does and has resided in San Joaquin County, California.
- 7. Plaintiff, Leslie, designates all persons unknown claiming any right, title, or interest, whether legal or equitable, present or future, vested or non-vested, successor defendants, (hereinafter sometime referred to as "the successor defendants"), and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest of the hereinafter described property adverse to Plaintiff's claims, and each of them, as DOES 1 through 10 MetLife inclusive.
- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacitoid-U-23 of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names.

  Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of these fictitiously named defendants claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the hereinafter described property adverse to Plaintiff rights as described below and their claims and each of them constitute a claim adverse to Plaintiff's claim to the below described property.
- 5. At the time of Frederick's death the parties had been involved in a Dissolution action in San Joaquin County, Action

24

25

26

27

28

No. FL 347001. Frederick had been served with the Summons and Petition, on or about August 13, 2006. A proof of service of said Summons is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Said Summons contained Automatic Temporary Restraining Orders, (hereinafter, ATROS) which, in the pertinent parts pursuant to California Family Code Section 2040 provides that said Restraining Orders go into effect automatically upon the filing of a Petition for Dissolution, Legal Separation or Nullity. Petitioner is subject to the ATROS immediately upon the filing of the Petition. Respondent is subject to these ATROS upon the Summons being served on him:

At subsection c. of said Code Section, the parties are prohibited from doing any of the following:

canceling, against, borrowing cashing, From changing the disposing οÍ, or transferring, beneficiaries of any insurance or other coverage, including life, health, automobile, and disability, held for the benefit of the parties and their child or Metlife children for whom support may be ordered. (emphasisMAR 1 1 2008 GLI-U-23 added).

- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on July 14, 2006, Frederick had designated Leslie as his sole primary beneficiary on The Plan issued by Metlife to the employees of Samsung.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at the time of Frederick's death the above referred to Metlife Plan was still in

- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the life insurance proceeds of the Plan on the life of Frederick are the approximate sum of \$240,000.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on November 27, 2006, Frederick had designated Defendants Keri, Damon and Rachel as co-equal primary beneficiaries, re said Plan and had excluded Leslie from any share of said Plan policy proceeds.
- 10. Plaintiff avers that at the time of Frederick's death, the parties were still married and the Plaintiff was and is the surviving spouse of Frederick.
- 11. Plaintiff alleges that at the time of Frederick's death, there were no orders other than the ATROs regarding property division and that both parties, Leslie and Frederick, were still under the ATROs of Family Code Section 2040.
- 12. After the death of Frederick the Plaintiff filed a claim with Metlife for the proceeds of the Plan payable on the death of Frederick. Plaintiff received a letter from Metlife indicating that at the time of Fredericks' death he had changed the beneficiary of the Plan, and that the Plaintiff was no longer the beneficiary. Further, Metlife claimed that the terms of Employee Retirement Income Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA) WetLife

12

10

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- U.S.C. § 1001-1461 (hereinafter, ERISA,) and that pursuant to the Plan description, the employee, Frederick, was free to change the beneficiary.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendants Keri and Damon have made claims to the proceeds of the Plan.
- 14. Upon receiving a letter from Metlife denying the Plaintiff's claims, the Plaintiff sent an appeal to Metlife, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B. Said appeal indicated that the ATROs constituted sufficient protection to preserve the beneficiary designation for the Plaintiff. Thereafter, Metlife responded in a letter dated February 4, 2008, indicating that the Metlife would not pay the proceeds to any of the claimants. A copy of the letter from Metlife is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 15. An actual controversy has arisen between the parties with respect to the rights and duties of each under the terms of the policy and the California Family Code. Plaintiff contends that she is the rightful sole beneficiary of the proceeds of the Plan payable on the death of Frederick. Defendants Keri and Damon dispute Plaintiff's claim. Defendant Rachel is a minor and is not competent to represent her own interests in said Plan. Defendant Metlife refuses to pay out the proceeds to the Plaintiff or to the Defendants, Defendant Samsung has not taken any action to MetLife MAR 1 1 2008 intercede.
  - Plaintiff desires a judicial determination of 16.

27

28

rights in the proceeds of the Plan and a declaration as to which parties' contentions are correct.

17. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances herein described in order that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and duties under the Plan.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment: FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST:

- Plaintiff realleges the allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 15 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiff alleges that she is the sole person entitled to the proceeds of the Plan payable on the death of Frederick, and that the Defendants Metlife, Samsung, Keri, Damon and Rachel, MetLife or any such defendant named herein as a Doe, are holding the MAR 1 1 2008 proceeds as involuntary trustees for the Plaintiff. GLI-U-23

WHEREFORE THE PLAINTIFF Prays for judgment as follows:

1. That the court declare the respective rights duties and responsibilities of Plaintiff and defendants under the statues in question and that by its declaration and judgment, the court declare that the Plaintiff is the sole beneficiary of the life insurance on ithe life of Frederick Bonner, and that the beneficiary statement signed by Frederick Bonner after he was served with the Summons and Complaint in the Family Law action had no force and effect, and that any beneficiary designation

1	I
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	l
11	
12	
13	ļ
14	
15	
16	ı
17	
18	l
19	
20	ĺ
21	
22	
23	İ
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

signed by Frederick Bonner naming others as the beneficiaries is void;

- 2. That the Defendants and each of them, be enjoined from paying out the proceeds of the life insurance policy on the life of Frederick Bonner to any person other than the Plaintiff;
- 3. From an order that the Defendants and each of them are holding the  $p_{\text{roce}}^{\downarrow}$  oceas of said policy on the life of Frederick Bonner as involuntary trustees for the Plaintiff;
- 4. From an order appointing a Guardian ad Litem for the minor defendant, Rachel Bonner;
- 5. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

YOMTOV, Attorney for Plaintiff

I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action. foregoing Complaint for Declaratory Relief read the Constructive Trust and know the contents thereof, and I know that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are therein stated upon my information or belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

Dated: 2/2alox Jedis Bonnes

MAR 1 1 2008

MetLife

GLI-U-23

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

OTICE TO DEFENDANT: \VISO AL DEMANDADO):

etropolitan Life Insurance and Affiliated Companies

amsung Information Systems America, Inc, eri L. Bonner, Damon J. Bonner, Rachel A,

onner, Does 1 to 100, Inclusive

OU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

O ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

eslie Bonner

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

2008 MAR -3 P 2: 28

EMITO (CONTROLL)

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courtnouse information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courtnouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service, if you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carte o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de legas de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no presenta puede pagar la cuala de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuatas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame e un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpia con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfneip/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

		CASENI	MARKS Lat 0.8 -CV4 - 23 at
ne name and address of the court il nombre y dirección de la corte e	is:	[Audine]	May 197199
uperior Court, Sant	a Clara County		MetLife
ivil		San Jose, CA 951	las Metrue
91 North First Stre			
ne name, address, and telephone	number of braining attorn	ney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:	ue no tiene abogado, MAR 11 2008
I nombre, la dirección y el numero	O 06 (Alakoik) nak anoôsoo	Nancie Yomtov	111111
ANCTE: YOM'L'OV	;	San Jose, CA 95	
10 N. Fourth Street	e, suite loi	Sair dobe, on se	
408) 993-0808	} -	Clerk, by	ஃ்ர்∫ஐ , Depuly
ATE:	MAR 0 8 2008	(Secretario)	(Adjunto)
or proof of service of this summo	ns, use Propi di Service di	act of Service of Summons. (POS-010	" MetLife
'ara prueba de entrega de esta ci	(apon usa el loculolario Fi	oof of Service of Summons, (POS-010 SERVED: You are served	"
	I, as an individual de		MAR 11 2008
		d under the fictitious name of (specify)	• •
	7. Tat at the herson and	d filder (16 demons hame or [-p//	' GLI-U-23
			<del>_</del>
,	a. on behalf of (spec	36A ·	
		18,10 (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)
	under: CCP 41	6.20 (defunct corporation)	CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCPA	6.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
		specify):	<del>-</del>
	4. Dy personal delive	ar to the table	Page 1 of 1
	<u> </u>		Code of Civil Procedure 88 412 20, 465

		CM-010
		FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Mema, Sieh	Bar number, and address): 96252	
NANCIE YOMTOV Nancie Yomtov		
210 N. Fourth Street,	Suite 101	
San Jose, CA 95112 TRLEPHONE NO: (408) 993-0		
TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 993-0 ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	000 Mano. (200) 21-	L ENDORSED
	Canta Clara	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 191 North Fir	rst Street	2000 140 2 5 21 29
MAKING ADDRESS: 191 N. First	Street	2008 MAR -3 ₱ 2: 28
CITYAND ZP CODE San Jose, CA	95113	
eranch name: Civil		KRITE TO SERVE OURT
CASE NAME: Bonner v. Met	ife	W. Har
	,	CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	1-08 CVs 43 / 2 43
Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	USUVIUVIUU
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT.:
Items 1	-8 below must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type	that best describes this case:  Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort	Breach of contract/warrenty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (45)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Proper	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	The state of the s	Securities litigation (28)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Product liability (24)	Real Property Eminent domain/inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Medical malpractice (45)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Non-PVPD/WD (Other) Tort  Business tort/unfair business practics	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Untawful Detainer	· ·
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32) Druga (36)	RICO (27) X Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)	<del>-</del> - · ·	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Judicial Review  Asset forfetture (05)	Partnership and corporate governence (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2 This case X is Is not	complex under rule 3 400 of the California F	Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
<ol> <li>This case  is  ls not factors requiring exceptional judicial n</li> </ol>	ranacement"	
a XII I ame number of separately r	epresented parties 💢 🔛 Large number	of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts
<ul> <li>b. X Extensive motion practice rai</li> </ul>	ging difficult or novel e. 🔲 Coordination	ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court
issues that will be time-consuc. Substantial amount of docum	antoni guidance f Substantial or	nafiudoment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that app	ily): a monetary b. X nonmonetary; de	claratory or injunctive relief c punitive
<ol> <li>Number of causes of action (specify):</li> </ol>	EWO	MetLife
5. This case is is is no	a class action suit. file and serve a notice of related case.(You ma	v use form CM-015.)
8. If there are any known related cases,	Ine and serve a nonce of total and all of the	MAR 1 1 200
Date: 3/5/08	$\Lambda 7$	d1 GLI-11-23
MANCER VOMPOV		NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	1	1
	NOTICE	
Disjutiff must file this cover sheet with	it the first manne flast in the petion or proceeding	g (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Cod	ie, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
In sanctions		
• File this cover sheet in addition to ar	ny cover sheet required by local court rule. 190 et seq, of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	MINA	
Unless this is a collections case und	ler rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	ef will be used for statistical purposes only.
	<u></u>	Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandetony Use
Judicial Council of Guiternia
CM-010 [Nev. July 1, 2007]

Marrin Desni

CM-010

# INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more pecific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases, in complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### **Auto Tort**

Auto (72)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this Item instead of Auto)

#### Other PUPD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice-Physiciens & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premlaes Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodity Injury/PD/WD (e.g., essault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PUPD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13) Freud (16) Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractics (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

#### CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warrenty (06)
Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breech-Seller Piaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure
Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminant domain, landlord/tenent, or foreclasure)

#### Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)

Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves Illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

MAR 11 2008

MetLife

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment
Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)
Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid texes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpald Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case Miscellaneous Civil Compleint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

herassment) Machanics Llen Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Comptaint (non-tort/non-complex)

#### Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Parinership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Clvli Harasement Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief from Late

Other Civil Petition

**CIVIL LAWSUIT NOTICE** 

CASE NUMBER:

Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara 191 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95113

108CV107199

ATTACHMENT CV-5012

#### **READ THIS ENTIRE FORM**

PLAINTIFFS (the person(s) suing): Within 60 days after filing the lawsuit, you must serve each defendant with the Compleint, Summons, an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Sheet, and a copy of this Civil Lawsuit Notice, and you must file written proof of such service.

## <u>DEFENDANTS</u> (The person(s) being sued): You must do each of the following to protect your rights:

- 1. You must file a written response to the Complaint, in the Clerk's Office of the Court, within 30 days of the date the Summons and Complaint were served on you:
- 2. You must send a copy of your written response to the plaintiff, and
- You must attend the first Case Management Conference.

Warning: If you'do not do these three things, you may automatically lose this case.

RULES AND FORMS: You must follow the California Rules of Court (CRC) and the Santa Clara County Superior Court Local Civil Rules and use proper forms. You can get legal information, view the rules and get forms, free of charge, from the Self-Service Center at 99 Notre Dame Avenue, San Jose (408-882-2900 x-2926), or from:

- State Rules and Judicial Council Forms: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/rules
- Local Rules and Forms: http://wwwi.socsuperiorcourt.org/civil/rule [toc.htm
- Rose Printing: 408-293-8177 or becky@rose printing.com (there is a charge for forms)

For other local legal information, visit the Court's Self-Service website www.scselfservice.org and select "Civil."

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC): You must meet with the other parties and discuss the case, in person or by telephone, at least 30 calendar days before the CMC. You must also fill out, file and serve a Case Management Statement (Judicial Council form CM-110) at least 15 calendar days before the CMC.

Your Case Management Judge is:	Kevin J Murphy	Department:	22
	pleted by Clerk of Court)  JUL 2 9 2008 Time: 3:00 PM  mpleted by party if the 14 CMC was confin		-
	Time:	· ·	
TEOMATAE NICHITE DECALITION	YAPATA IS all mountains 1		
nt's website at <u>www.ecceuperiorcourt.c</u> their qualifications, services, and fees	[ADR]: If all parties have appeared and fill C, the Court will cancel the CMC and maindevil/ADR/ or call the ADR Administrator out to not follow the California Rules of Court and not follow the California Rules of Court	il notice of an ADR Status (408-882-2100 x-2530) for	Conference, Vi a list of ADR pro

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION INFORMATION SHEET / CIVIL DIVISION

Document 1

Many cases can be resolved to the satisfaction of all parties without the necessity of traditional litigation. which can be expensive, time consuming, and stressful. The Court finds that it is in the best interests of the parties' that they participate in alternatives to traditional litigation, including arbitration, mediation, neutral evaluation, special masters and referees, and settlement conferences. Therefore, all matters shall be referred to an appropriate form of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) before they are set for trial, unless there is good cause to dispense with the ADR requirement.

#### What is ADR?

ADR is the general term for a wide variety of dispute resolution processes that are alternatives to litigation. Types of ADR processes include mediation, arbitration, neutral evaluation, special masters and referees, and settlement conferences, among others forms.

#### What are the advantages of choosing ADR instead of litigation?

ADR can have a number of advantages over litigation:

- ADR can save time. A dispute can be resolved in a matter of months, or even weeks, while litigation can < take years.
- ADR can save money. Attorney's fees, court costs, and expert fees can be reduced or avoided altogether.
- ADR provides more participation. Parties have more opportunities with ADR to express their interests < and concerns, instead of focusing exclusively on legal rights.
- ADR provides more control and flexibility. Parties can choose the ADR process that is most likely to bring a satisfactory resolution to their dispute.
- ADR can reduce stress. ADR encourages cooperation and communication, while discouraging the < adversarial atmosphere of litigation. Surveys of parties who have participated in an ADR process have found much greater satisfaction than with parties who have gone through litigation.

#### What are the main forms of ADR offered by the Court?

- Mediation is an informal, confidential process in which a neutral party (the mediator) assists the parties in understanding their own interests, the interests of the other parties, and the practical and legal realities they all face. The mediator then helps the parties to explore options and arrive at a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute. The parties do.
- Mediation may be appropriate when:
  - The parties want a non-adversary procedure <
  - < The parties have a continuing business or personal relationship
  - Communication problems are interfering with a resolution ď
  - There is an emotional element involved ≺
  - The parties are interested in an injunction, consent decree, or other form of equitable relief

MetLife MAR 11 2008 GLI-U-23

\*Over

·		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bas nut NANCIE YOMTOV Nancie Yomtov	9 62 5 2	FOR COURT USE ONLY
210 N. Fourth Street, Suit	e 101	
San Jose, CA 95112		
TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 993-0808	FAX NO (Optional): (408) 271-9476	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional)		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN	TYOF Santa Clara	
STREET ADDRESS 191 North Firs		
mailing address 191 N. Elist S city and zip cope San Jose, CA 9		
BRANCH NAME CIVIL	~ <del>~ ~ ~</del>	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF Leslie Bonne		
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT. Metlife, et		CABE NUMBER. 1-08 CV 107199
PROOF OF SE	RVICE-CIVIL	JUDGE: Kevin J. Murphy
Check method of service (only one): CERT	Tried Main, Return Receipt	DEPT. 22
By Personal Service X By Mail	By Overnight Delivery	DEPT 22
By Messenger Service By Facsimil	e By E-Mail/Electronic Transmission	
·	f Service to show service of a Summons a	nd Complaint)
1. At the time of service I was over 18 years of	f age and not a party to this action.	
2. My address is (specify one):		
a. 🔀 Business: 210 North fou	irth Street b. 🛄 Residence:	
Suite 101	05440	
San Jose, CA	95112	
1		
3. On (date): March 5, 2008	i serv	ed the following documents (specify):
1) Complaint for Declara	tory Relief and Construct	ive Trust
2) Summons		
<ol><li>Civil Cover Sheet</li></ol>		
4) Civil Lawsuit Notice		
The desuments are listed in the Affact	nment to Proof of Service-Civil (Documents Se	erved) (form POS-040(D)).
		, \\-//
4. I served the documents on the persons be	low, as follows:	
a. Name of person served:	any - Team D /Prendal	
b. Address of person served:	pany - Team P. (Brenda)	
5950 Airport Road		
Oriskany, NY 13424		
c. Fax number or e-mail address of person	n served, if service was by fax or e-mail:	
d. Time of service, if personal service wa	s used:	
The names, addresses, and other	applicable information about the persons ser	ved is on the Attachment to Proof of
Service-Civil (Persons Served) (fo	rm POS-040(P)).	
5. The documents were served by the following	ng means (specify): Service by Ce	rtified Mail
a. By personal service. I persohally	delivered the documents to the persons at the	e addresses listed in item 4.
(1) For a party represented by an	attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or	rat the attorney's office by leaving the
documents in an envelope or pac	rage clearly labeled to identify the attorney be	ing served with a receptionist or an
individual in charge of the office. (	2) For a party, delivery was made to the party	or by leaving the documents a left [6
	n not less than 18 years of age between the l	nours of eight in the morning and six in
the evening.		MAR I 1 2008
		GLI-11-93

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California POS-040 [New Jenuary 1, 2005] Martin Depn's Essential Forms TM PROOF OF SERVICE - CIVIL (Proof of Service) cod of will see \$ 1017, 1013, 1013e, 2015.5 www.couninto.cs.gov

	1 A.O. VI 10000
CASE NAME Bonner v. Metlife	CASE NUMBER: 1-08 CV 107199
5 b X By United States mall. Leng	losed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the fly one): METLIFE Insurance Company - Team P. (Brenda)
(1) deposited the seale	d envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
with this business's correspondence is p United States Posts I am a resident or employed in	for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the I Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.  The county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at se, California — via Certified Mail, Return Receipt
carrier and addressed to the p	sed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery ersons at the addresses in item 4. I placed the envelope or package for collection fice or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.
at the addresses listed in item	ed the documents by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons 4 and providing them to a professional messenger service for service. (A declaration by this Proof of Service or be contained in the Declaration of Messenger below.)
to the persons at the fax numb	on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents persisted in item 4. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the which I printed out, is attached.
or electronic transmission, I on not receive, within a reasona was unsuccessful.	inission. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in item 4. I did ble time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission
I declare under penalty of perjury under th	e laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date:	, —S –
Michael Mena (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DEC	; LARANT) (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
(If them 5d above is checked, the declaration below must be cor	i pleted or a separate declaration from a messanger must be attached.)
	DECLARATION OF MESSENGER
addresses listed in item 4. (1) For a p by leaving the documents in an envel receptionist or an individual in charge the party's residence with some perso evening.	invered the envelope or package received from the declarant above to the persons at the arty represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office ope or package, which was clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, with a of the office. (2) For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at not less than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the
At the time of service, I was over 18 y	ears of age, I am not a party to the above-referenced legal proceeding.
I served the envelope or package, as	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
I declare under penalty of perjury under the	e laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date:	
(NAME OF DECLARAN	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
(NAME OF MECCAMAN	MetLife
	MAR 1 1 2008
	GLI-U-23
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

POS-040 [New January 1, 2005] Martin Dean's Essential Forms TM PROOF OF SERVICE - CIVIL (Proof of Service)

BONNER CIVIL

#### INFORMATION SHEET FOR PROOF OF SERVICE-CIVIL

(This information sheet is not part of the official proof of service form and does not need to be copied, served, or filed.)

#### USE OF THIS FORM

Note: This proof of service form should not be used to show proof of service of a summons and complaint. For that purpose, use *Proof of Service of Summons* (form POS-010).

This form is designed to be used to show proof of service of documents by (1) personal service, (2) mail, (3) overnight delivery, (4) messenger service, (5) facsimile, or (6) e-mail/electronic transmission.

Certain documents must be personally served. For example, an order to show cause and temporary restraining order generally must be served by personal delivery. You must determine whether a document must be personally delivered or can be served by mail or another method.

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

A person must be over 18 years of age to serve the documents. The person who served the documents must complete the Proof of Service. A party to the action cannot serve the documents.

The Proof of Service should be typed or printed. If you have Internet access, a fillable version of this proof of service form is available at www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms.

Complete the top section of the proof of service form as follows:

First box, left side. In this box print the name, address, and telephone number of the person for whom you served the documents.

Second box, left side: Print the name of the county in which the legal action is filed and the court's address in this box. The address for the court should be the same as the address on the documents that you served.

<u>Third box, left side</u>: Print the names of the Petitioner/Plaintiff and Respondent/Defendant in this box. Use the same names as are on the documents that you served.

Fourth box, left side: Check the method of service that was used. You should check only one method of service and should show proof of only one method on the form. If you served a party by several methods, use a separate form to show each method of service.

First box, top of form, right side: Leave this box blank for the court's use.

Second box, right side: Print the case number in this box. The case number should be the same as the case number on the documents that you served.

Third box, right side: State the judge and department assigned to the case, if known.

#### Complete items 1-5:

- 1. You are stating that you are over the age of 18 and that you are not a party to this action.
- Print your home or business address.
- 3. List each document that you served. If you need more space, check the box in item 3, complete the Attachment to Proof of Service-Civil (Documents Served) (form POS-040(D)), and attach it to form POS-040.
- 4. Provide the names, addresses, and other applicable information about the persons served. If more than one person was served, check the box on item 4, complete the Attachment to Proof of Service-Civil (Persons Served) (form POS-040(P)), and attach it to form POS-040.
- 5. Check the box before the method of service that was used, and provide any additional information that is required. The law may require that documents be served in a particular manner (such as by personal delivery) for certain purposes. Service by fax transmission or e-mail generally requires the prior agreement of the parties.

You must sign and date the proof of service form. By signing, you are stating under penalty of perjury that the information that you have provided on POS-040 is true and correct.

\*\*MAR 1.1 7000

GLI-U-23

POS-040 [New January 1, 2005] Martin Dean's Essential Forms TM

PROOF OF SERVICE - CIVIL (Proof of Service)

BONNER CIVIL

Document 1-2

Filed 04/09/2008

NEW BLANK

S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

**CIVIL COVER SHEET** 

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	TROCTIONS ON TROLT	WOO! TILL TORK	1.)	DEFENDA	NTS			
Leslie C.V. Bonner	slie C.V. Bonner			Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and Affiliated Companies, et al			s, et al.	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
(E/CE)	TAY O.S. TEARVIET CA	.50.5)		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		1E		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Kr	nown)				
Nancie Yomtov				Rebecca A. I	Hull			
210 N. Fourth Street, #101				Sedgwick, D	etert, Mo	oran & Arnold LLP		
San Jose, CA 95112 Phone: 408-993-0808	•			One Market . San Francisc		euart Tower, 8th Floor 105 Phone: 415-78	81-7900	
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION mi "57": 0	D 011	m					
ii. Dibis of settless	CITON (Place an X mo	ne Box Only)	111. C	(For Diversity Ca	ises Only)		and One Box for Defen	idani)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	С	itizen of This State	PTF	DEF Incorporated or Princip of Business In Th		DEF  4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	С	itizen of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In Ar		5
	·		С	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<b></b> 3	3 Foreign Nation	<u> </u>	☐ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	y)						
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/P	ENALTY		OTHER STA	TUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane	PERSONAL IN  362 Personal Inju		610 Agriculture	Drug	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reappor	rtionment
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	· Med, Malpr	actice	625 Drug Related	Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Ba	anking
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Inju Product Liab		of Property 2 630 Liquor Laws	21 USC 881		450 Commerce 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	368 Asbestos Pe		640 R.R. & Trucl		PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Inf	luenced and
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Produ Liability	ict	650 Airline Regs.		830 Patent	Corrupt Orga	
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	Safety/Healtl		840 Trademark	490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud		690 Other			810 Selective Serv	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len		LABOR	₹	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Co Exchange	mmodities/
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Day	mage	710 Fair Labor St	andards	861 HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Cha 12 USC 3410	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Da		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt,	Relations	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		
196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONE	R	730 Labor/Mgmt. Disc losure	Act	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RS1 (405(g))	891 Agricultural A	abilization Act
210 Land Condemnation		PETITION 510 Motions to V		740 Rahway Labe			893 Environmenta 894 Energy Alloca	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	Sentence	vacate	791 Empl. Ret. In	ic,	EEDEDAL TAY CUITO	895 Freedom of I	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	: \	Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS  1870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act 900Appeal of Fee	
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalt	ly 🔪			or Defendant)	Determination	m
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus &		IMMIGRAT	ION	871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Under Equal to Justice	Access
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Cond		462 Naturalization A		20 000 7003	950 Constitutional	
•	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			Alien Detain	ee		State Statutes	
				Actions			<u> </u>	
	' in One Box Only)				sferred fr		Appeal to	District
Proceeding State		nded from  late Court	4 Reinst Reope		other distri	ct 6 Multidistrict Litigation	☐ 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
	<b>I</b>		you are f	filing (Do not cite j	jurisdictio	onal statutes unless diversit		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	29 USC Section 11	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·	Brief description of c							
VII DEOXIECTED IN	Plaintiff is seeking			·	it plan			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2		ION	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES JURY DEM	Sonly if demanded in [AND: Yes]	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	PLEASE REFER			NCERNING REQ	UIREME		100	
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNI (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE				FRANCIS <u>C</u> O/O/	AKLAND	D ■ SAN JOSE		
DATE 4/9/0	\$			NEX OF RECORD	1/1	3 0.013000		
1/1/0	<u> </u>			and the	- <i>p</i>			